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SMR drives and dm-zoned

- SMR drives have two types of zones
  - Random access
  - Sequential write
- Number of sequential write zones are substantially higher than the number of random access zones
SMR drives and filesystems

- Regular filesystems assume random access devices
- Modifications required to work natively on SMR drives
- Modifications on filesystems take a long time to be deployed in the field
DM-zoned operation
SMR drives and dm-zoned

- Dm-zoned design idea:
  - Use random-access zones to cache data
  - Copy assembled data from random-access zones to sequential write zones
  - Use internal remapping for zones
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Random access zones

Sequential write zones
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Dm-zoned: reclaim zones
Dm-zoned: cache control

- **High watermark:**
  - Start reclaim
  - Throttle reclaim

- **Low watermark:**
  - Always reclaim even if busy
  - Remove throttle on reclaim
Dm-zoned limitations

- Random-access zones have a lower performance than sequential-write zones
- Degrading disk performance during copying zones between random-access and sequential-write zones
Scaling DM-zoned
Design ideas

- Random zones act like a cache, and can live on a separate device
- Sequential-write zones are linear, so we can combine several SMR devices to form a large device
- Device-mapper already provides the infrastructure for such a setup
- Zone mapping can direct I/O to unused disks, thereby improving performance
Benefits

- I/O can be directed to unused/less loaded drives
- Cache can be a fast device (NVMe, NVDIMM) to increase burst performance
- Should scale reasonably well as all disks are independent.
Implementation

- Update on-disk metadata to allow several devices
- Update metadata handling:
  - Primary and secondary metadata on cache device
  - ‘Tertiary’ metadata on SMR devices
- Only primary and secondary metadata is updated during I/O, tertiary metadata is just for assembling the device-mapper device.
- Implement cache zones as emulated zones on regular device.
Scaling dm-zoned
Testing limitations

- SMR support on RAID HBAs very limited
- Broadcom sole remaining vendor of SAS HBAs
- Standard 2U servers can fit up to 12 3.5” HDDs
- Higher disk count require dedicated enclosure
- Limitations due to enclosure connection (6G SAS)
Performance testing

- 20-core dual-socket Intel Xeon
- 128GB RAM
- 256GB NVDIMM as cache
- Broadcom SAS9300-8e connected to JBOD
- 12x WD 14TB SMR drives
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Performance results

- Cache performance around 2.5 GB/s
- Drop in performance once all cache zones are in use
- Performance drop less noticeable with number of disks
- Higher disk counts incur higher performance fluctuation
Scalability effects
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Bandwidth (GB/s) vs. Number of runs
Cache scalability
Cache scalability

- Slight performance degradation (approx. 1.5%) in cache-only performance with number of disks
- Possible interaction with reclaim
- Still very good scalability
Reclaim scalability

- Reclaim is scaling with number of disks
- Start earlier with higher number of disks
- Longer period at low watermark with higher number of disks
- Becomes more ‘aggressive’ with higher number of disks
Performance on high disk counts

- Drop on performance barely noticeable on higher disk counts
- Not all cache zones have been used with 12 disks
- Retest with larger number of runs to get comparable results
Performance on cache saturation

- Performance increases with number of disks
- Fluctuation increases with number of disks
- Resource contention on the HBA:
  - All drives are behind a 6G SAS HBA
  - Limited number of tags available
NUMA Effects
NUMA effects on NVDIMM

- Single namespace attached to one socket
- NUMA access from the other socket
- Performance degradation when accessing namespace from other socket:
Performance: 12 disks

![Graph showing performance comparison between Off-NUMA access and Direct access. The x-axis represents the number of runs, ranging from 0 to 200, and the y-axis represents bandwidth in GB/s, ranging from 0 to 3. The graph shows fluctuating performance levels with Off-NUMA access peaking higher than Direct access except in a few instances.]
NUMA effects on NVDIMM

- Performance drop of 50% for Off-socket NUMA access
- Noticeable lower variance for Off-Socket NUMA access
- Might be explained by reclaim running on an off-socket CPU
Write amplification
Write amplification

- Cache algorithm induces write amplification
  - Copy contents from to sequential zones on ‘copy’ or ‘reclaim’ operation
  - Read-in required for modification
  - 1:3 worst-case behaviour (write out old contents, read in new contents, write back new contents)
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Write amplification

- Direct correlation between performance degradation and write amplification
- Inverse correlation between cache utilisation and write amplification
- Reclaim tries to run with constant speed per disk; higher fluctuations once it drops below low watermark.
Future work
NVDIMM tuning

- Implement DAX for metadata
- Avoid NUMA effects
  - Restrict reclaim to on-socket CPUs
  - Analyse smp_call vs cache bouncing
Cache-parameter tuning

- Scale caching size with number of disks
  - Currently limited by NVDIMM size
- Improve reclaim throttling
- Establish best parameters for high/low watermarks
- Tests with even more disks
Redundancy

- Currently no redundancy
- Mirror-like functionality possible by duplicating the setup
- Declustered RAID; zone mapping on both sides does not need to be identical
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