# DNA Data Storage and Near-Molecule Processing for the Yottabyte Era

Karin Strauss, Microsoft Research Luis Ceze, University of Washington







#### Storage capacity is growing too slowly



# When Moore met Feynman



The number of transistors doubles every 18-24 months

The industry roadmaps are based on that continued rate of improvement Arrange the atoms the way we want

DNA molecules use approximately 50 atoms for one bit



# Let's store data in DNA!

#### **DNA data storage basics**



#### Simple mapping:

Bits	Base
00	А
01	С
10	G
11	Т

#### Store data in synthetic DNA strands



#### Dense, really dense

**Cold Storage**: 1EB **Size**: Two Walmart Supercenters



VS.

It's here!

## **Information durability**

#### DNA "synthetic fossils" last 2,000 – 2,000,000 years



#### Extreme density makes these conditions cheap and easy to keep

# **Comparison with other media**



#### No obsolescence



Same medium as read technology improves:



#### No obsolescence issue, DNA will always be relevant

Same medium as read technology improves:



Medium changes as read and write technology improves:



## Ability to make copies

Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR) create copies exponentially





## **Sustainability**



DNA promises to be significantly more sustainable than tape

#### DNA storage end-to-end system



#### Our results so far

#### 1GB of data stored and fully recovered



#### Published



#### Most data in DNA in peer reviewed publication

Organick et al., Nature Biotechnology, 2018

#### DNA storage end-to-end system



# **DNA** encoding



### **DNA synthesis**



#### **DNA** preservation



(Credit: Grass et al./ETH Zurich)



Grass et al., Angewandte Chemie, 2015; Chen et al., Advanced Functional Materials, 2019; Kohll et al. Chemical Communications, 2020

## DNA storage random access with PCR



Selecting one item out of two



Yazdi et al., Scientific Reports, 2015; Bornholt et al., ASPLOS, 2016; Organick et al., Nature Biotechnology, 2018; Organick et al., Nature Communications, 2020; Chen et al., Nature Communications, 2020

# Reading DNA with sequencing by synthesis





## **Reading DNA with nanopores**



## Different error profile across platforms

<u>×</u>

Illumina NextSeq



**ONT MinION** 



## **DNA decoding and error correction**





Rashtchian et al., NeurIPS, 2017; Organick et al., Nature Biotechnology, 2018

# **DNA decoding and error correction**



# **DNA decoding and error correction**



#### DNA storage end-to-end system



# Exponential improvements in DNA data storage



# Performance of reading and writing DNA



#### Latency

Synthesis and sequencing are currently batch processes, matches archival storage SLAs (~hours).

Emerging technologies, like nanopore devices, provide closer to real time latency

Source: Robert Carlson

# Write and read mechanisms

Opportunities for improvements in write and read throughput, latency and cost

Opportunities	Life sciences	Data storage
Error rate	Single base mutations affect function	Error correcting codes allow data recovery even in the presence of multiple errors C A G C A C T A G Error types: substitutions, deletions and insertions
Length ("block size")	Longer sequences have more function	Shorter sequences are faster and easier to make

# DNA storage end-to-end system w/ integrated computing



## DNA computing in the 80s



Hamiltonian path problem



#### **Problem:** shifts complexity from time to amount of material

Adleman, DNA1, 1994

# DNA "computing" in the age of big data



Operate over data already stored in DNA Target polynomial time algorithms Extremely parallel and energy efficient

#### Content-based image/video search



## Content-based image/video search in DNA



# Exploiting matches for exact and approximate search

Double helix: complete match



Good partial match



Poor partial match



# Searching with DNA



#### Match-dependent yield

## **Content based media search**



















# "Semantic" Hashing



## Learning-based encoding

Layer			Size
Sequence Output	ATG	ССТ	30 x 1
ReLU + Softmax Activations	A		30 x 4
Fully Connected Weights			10 x 128 x 30 x 4
ReLU Activations			10 x 128
Convolutional Weights 2			1 x 128 x 128
Sine Activations			10 x 128
Convolutional Weights 1			1 x 128
Input Features			10 x 1

### **Experiments show encouraging results**









# Yottabyte-scale near-molecule computing?



Capacity/bandwidth going up







Physically "diffusing" computation through data offers parallelism and virtually unlimited access bandwidth. Yes, at a higher latency.

# DNA storage end-to-end system w/ integrated computing



#### End-to-end system in a datacenter





#### First fully automated DNA data storage system





#### First fully automated DNA data storage system



# **Digital microfluidics**

Versatile platform to implement wet lab preparation protocols









# Random access with spots+digital microfluidics





60s dwell time 33ng mass

00

W





#### No measurable contamination

[Stephenson, Takahashi, Nguyen, et al.., Nature Communications'19]

# Affordable full-stack SW/HW digital microfluidics platform

High-level programming with <i>Puddle</i>	<pre>def thermocycle(droplet, temps_and_times):     for temp, time in temps_and_times:         <u>heat(droplet, temp, time)     if droplet.volume &lt; MIN_VOLUME:         droplet += input("water", min_volume)</u></pre>
	<pre>def pcr(droplet, n_iter):     thermocycle(droplet, n_iter * [         (95, 3 * minutes),         (62, 30 * seconds),         (72, 20 * seconds), ])</pre>
"Assembly code"	activate(3,0) activate(3,1) activate(3,2) 
Hardware	



Willsey et al., ASPLOS, 2019; Stephenson et al., IEEE MICRO 2020.

#### Hardware, software, wetware



Molecular domain

**Electronic domain** 

# Future hybrid systems



**Electronics:** Ultra low latency, engineerable, perfect control

Quantum: Massive specialized parallel computing, little data



https://misl.cs.washington.edu

**Questions**?

https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/project/dna-storage/